

✓
G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 172-5

1916-17
(Muzrai)

Serial Nos. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20.

Part B for March 1919

SUBJECT.

Supervision exercised over Pazapattur an
Veeraletty H. Ch. Inam villages endowed
for the maintenance of a choultry in
Sankararajapuram or Gundipal in Pundarik
Zamindari

ordered to be lodged vide.
Secy order in page no 26.

V Bistappa
Recorder
21.8.1919

1916/17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(May)

NOTES.

File No. 692-66

FILE HEADING.

Supervision exercised over Pannathur & Vennasubbi
 iram villages endowed for the maintenance of a school
 in Santharapalpet or Gundigal in Pannasam Zamindari.

From

No.	Dated		Received
Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

Routine Notes.

M 7 192-16-11

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D NO 717
D 16-17

The report promised by the Dy:
Comr regarding the repairs to the
temple has not yet been received.

A draft reminder inviting the
Dy: Comr's attention to the matter
and calling for early submission
of the report is subd for approval.

89

7/12/16

(1)

An enquiry has been
made as regards c + a q.
Question W. Write to S
comr under.

(2)

As regards question 4
it seems that a matter also
for Br. V as the increasing
the temple under the Ancient
monument - preservation rules
has also to be considered

Recd NO:

1415 of 8.12.16.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form.

W D 81-GPB-60,000-20 7 16

The draft affd may be
mixed and the two parts in-
dicated above also subd

les
7.12.16
P.L.B.

Dec, 1921

(3)
(4)

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subd

Reminder to D. C. Bangalore on question no. 4 has issued and after receipt of a reply from him the subject will be transferred to Branch V as directed by the Under Secretary -

as regards question no. 10 a draft letter to D. C. Kolar calling for the necessary information is subd for approval

SD ann
21/12/16 21/12/16

App

22/12/16 23/12/16

No: 1627 of 6.1.17, 2 of Kolar, calls for info in the matter

From the D. C. Kolar, 11.2.17-6-15

D 1176

Letter no - 906 of 18.1.17

States that there are no main

NB - Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

villages of the name of Pampathur and Veerasethiath in the district.

P. C.

May 1917-115

(5)

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subd. for orders.

If approved, Branch III may be asked to write and ascertain from the member where the Indian villages are situated.

82
26-6-17

ann
27.1.17

This information may be communicated to the member in question who may supply such additional information about the villages as he may see fit to furnish.

J. H. 1158-16



ls
27.1.17

To Br. III for further action.

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

99/119-4

1-16

(5)
(6)

G.R.S. III-3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subd. The information case has to be worked up as one belonging to Pr. VII and similar to the ~~the~~ R. A. subjects and a reply sent to the member concerned. The communication should therefore issue from Pr. VII.

ok
30/1/17

4/4/17

Subd. May a dft be put up to the member concerned?

Pr. VII

ann
2/2/17

for

her

L. 2. 17

Draft letter to member subd for

8/2
7-2-17

appl
ann
8/2/17

Appr

12/13-15-16 8/1/17

no: 2046 of 13.2.17, 2

W C - Narasimhaiah Esq
Advocate & Member of Leg. Council
in Mysore, calls for info

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

28

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Re the question asked by
him in the meeting held
on 5th Dec 16.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on
this form

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

May File No. 192 of 1916.

Serial
No.
15

From ~~the~~ Mr. C. Narasimhaiah, Member
of the Mysore Legislative Council
No. 2965 of 7-2-18

Furnishes information call^d for
re the inam villages of Paonpattur and
Veerasellipalli endowed for the main-
tenance of the chattram and Patasola built
in Gundaal or Sankaravazhalepet.

While furnishing information as
to how the objects for which the inams
were endowed by the Mysore Govt^l have
gradually failed and the inam villages
are being enjoyed by the Pungannur
Zamindar, the situation of the inam
villages has not been stated, and as
such no report in the matter can be
called for from any of the Deputy
Commissioners.

The member states that the records
connected with the inam villages are in
the Residency and may be sent for
there and further action taken thereon.

Sub^d for orders as to whether a request
may be made to the Residency in the matter.

sd/
18-2-18 .. 19-2-18

Write a DO letter to Mr. Narasimhaiah
asking him information about the location

1376.

(8)

WD 4233-GPB-60,000-1-12-16-b.

(9)

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

May File No. 192 of 1916.

Serial
No.

of the main villages. Acknowledged with thanks
his letter already received

KS
19/2/18

Draft D.O. subd. mappe

8D
19.2.18

am
19/1/18

Approved
KS
20/2/18

16 To. Mr. C. Narasimhaiah, Adm. Insp. No. 2161 & 1.3.18.
Calls for further info. re
the villages in question.

May File No. 192 of 1916.

8
10Serial
No.

May. Asst Secy's remarks
in a slip in the file -
the info of the Branch.
Please find out if the General
& Vernacular Records, Com-
p. us. Initials M.S. 29-4-18.

K. S. 18

(13 & 14)

P

NOTE—Contn sheet.

On receipt of the file in the Vernacular Branch,
a careful search was begun presuming that
the villages of Basupattur and Veerasettihalli
were in Mulbagal taluk, after the fall of
Serinjalahalli in 1799.

The Jama abandi, Jama Vasul Baki and
other old accounts of the Mulbagal taluk from
the year 1799-1800, were looked into and the
following information and papers traced:—

(1) In page No: 11. of the 'Jama Vasul Baki' Account
for the year Sukla 1809-10, mention is made
to an expenditure of 52 + odd Varahas on account
of the purchase of utensils to the Gundagal
Chattram in Pungannur Taluk. (Vide Kan-
nada translation of the account and the original
in Maharathi.)

(2) In pages 31 & 32 the purport of the authority
under which this item of expenditure was
incurred, is given in the following terms:— An
order dated the 11th Shukla of the month of Vai-
shakha in the year Pramodutha (15th May 1810)
stating that a purchase of 16 vessels for the use
of Sirkar's chowdary situated on the banks of
Kowdanya river near Gundagal village in Pung-
annur taluk, ^{should be made} and sent to one Chenzal Rao & a
receipt of acknowledgment obtained. (Vide Kan-
nada translation of the matter of details mentioned
in the original account in Maharathi.) (3)

Muz File No. 192 of 1916.

119)

Serial
No.

R

(3) From the Kannada translation of the letter dated the 25th April 1810 from the late Mr. Purniah to one M. R. Dy. Chengal Rao, Pradhan of the Pungamur Zamindari, it will be seen that in lieu of the amount due by the Raja to the Kāli, the annual income of 250 Varas has from the villages of Parupattur + Veraselli halli of Pungamur Taluk, was ordered to be set apart for the maintenance of the said chowdary at Gundager and feeding of pilgrims to the Temples + back. (Vide Kannada translation of the original letter in Mahratti herewith put up.)

R.

(4) On the strength of the authority + condition clearly laid down in the letter and account herewith put up, a reference may be made to the Zamindar thro' the Residency. The papers put up may safely be returned to the Vernacular Records when they are no longer required in the Branch.

46
28/5/18.

To the Encl'd Muz Branch.

Recd 28/5/18

Mrs. Sd Rama Chandraiah who is in charge of the Ver Records has taken much pains in tracing the above reference. May the Presid

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. 192 of 1916.

112

Serial
No.

be addressed in the matter?

am

29.5.18

We must know how the villages
first came under the control of Mysore
and also when the Pungamw Authorities
gave up submission of accounts.
Let the records be further searched.

MS
14/6/18.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

2087

(13)

Paper under disposal.
 Re: Mr. b. Narasimhaia's
 Interpellation (No. III) inviting
 ref^{ce} to his interpellation No. 10
 in the Legislative Council meet-
 ing held on 5th December 1916.

A. ^x 2087 / muz. 192-16-17.

O. M. (J. M. 1705-17) d.
 7. 6. 18 from the Chief Secy
 to Govt requesting that draft re-
 plies may be sent to Branch III
 to Mr. b. Narasimhaiah's inter-
 pellation No. 10 in the Legislative
 Council re: the management of
 the Pasupathur & Veerasettikolli
 Inam villages endowed for the
 maintenance of the Chattram &
 Patasala in Yankanarayalpet or
 Gundagal in the Punganur Ga-
 mindani.

With regard to (c) & (d) of the
 member's interpellation at the meeting of 5th Dec 1916,
 the following reply was given by the
 member of Council in charge:—
 "You have no information
 in the matter but will make
 enquiries."

2 Since the above reply was
 given the Branch has been making
 inquiries into the matter. Mr. b.
 Narasimhaia who was also re-
 quested to furnish information on the

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
 the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

The subject stated that the Inam villages in question were originally being managed by the Mysore Govt, but that they were subsequently given ^{over} to the Punganur Zamindar for management and that the connected records are in the Residency office and might be sent for and further action taken in the matter, but he did not specify the locality of the villages in question.

3 The ~~further~~ Vernacular Records ~~was~~ then asked to make a search for references and some information ~~is~~ has been supplied by the same to the following effect by a reference to the original Marathi Records.

The villages are situated in the Punganur Taluk and the annual income ^{amounting to} 250 Varahas ~~from~~ derived from these villages (Pasupathur and Vemasettikatti in Punganur Taluk) were ordered by the late Dewan Purniah to be set apart for the maintenance of the Yirka choultry at Gundagal for the feeding of pilgrims to Virupathi.

12087

115

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

It is now proposed to make a reference to the Residency in the matter.

5. The above information will, if appd, be communicated to the Legislative Branch with ref^{ce} to their O. M.

Yubd for orders.

MR. am
11.6.18. 11/6/18

Yubd with a draft reply as directed.

MR. am
12.6.18. 13/6/18

A draft reply is put up for approval of other orders.

8. The Records Branch are this body tracing the references.

Decy.)

KS,
13/6/18.

~~I do not think~~
~~any useful purpose will~~
~~be served in pursuing~~

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

4 116

9. From the information
now available it is noted
that the villages in
question were made over
to the Mysore State at some
time before 1810 by the
Purandar Zamindar
in lieu of a debt owed
to the State, that a
chattran was assigned
to the village at Gundach
for the benefit of travelers
and pilgrims to Hampi
at the instance of the
Mysore State. That the
villages were endowed
by the State to the chattran
the management of the

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

517

Chatteram being kept with
the Zendaari author-
ities subject to the
submitting monthly
accounts to the Muzak
Muzak at Mulbagal.
Please see flag and
its translation flag

10. So far there is
nothing to show what
control and supervision
had been reserved and
what remedy had been
contemplated in case
of malversation or

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

May 192-16

16
18

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

mismanagement. There
is however a basis for
further enquiry which
will be pursued.

A draft reply to
the interpellation is
submitted for approval

la

13. 6. 18

4565
14/6
12. Affd. the an
found errors in the
2000/10/18
245 on 18 units in
the main was 6.12
as 1/10 & 1/10
a small hat in 1/10
of 1/10 has been
replaced. Below
at 1/10 report
9/10/18
14/6/18

11. member)

2

Brett for him & myself

18.
14/5/18.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips,
the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

719

13 Draft reply

a) Enquiry ~~is~~ being made. Some other records have not yet been heard

b) No supervision is being exercised at present. The title of the ~~first~~ 15 do so has ^{yet} to be examined

c) Information not available

14/6

13/6/68

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

pur File No. 192 of 1916

C

Serial
No.

14.

14. 14. 14.

- 1) Draft to Dy. Secy to approved.
 2) Memo to Genl. Secy. May be sent.
 3) An order may be written (to be issued from the Supt's office) to the. And also the Supt. may Secy. will remember to issue timely reminders and to submit quarterly reports as directed.

18.

18. 18. 18.

10287 of 9.7.18. ^{18. 18. 18.}
 Calls for further report in the matter of the Inam villages of Pasupathihalli & Veerasethihalli in the Punganur Zamindari.

15 The appended memorandum is submitted for perusal in pursuance of orders of 14.6.18.

Extra Member.

18. 18. 18.

R. no. 837

d 10 9 1918

1030-3

S. S. S.

R. S.

30.9.18

18. 18. 18.

Draft-gan urgent matter is sent.

S. S. S.
7.1.19

Approved

P. D. D.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Muz File No. 192 of 1916.

2 1122

Serial
No. 19

From the Dy. Comr., Kolar Distt.,
letter no. 88 of 18 of 17-1-19.

States, in reply to sl. no 18, that no references were found either in the records of his office or of the Mulbagal Taluk office to show that the inam villages of Pasapathur and Veerasettihalli were endowed for the maintenance of the Mysore Govt. choultry in Pungamur Zamindari and that no accounts regarding the income & derived from those villages were being furnished by the Zamindari to the Mulbagal Taluk—

The information on the points (1) as to how the inam villages came to the possession of the Mysore Govt. and under what circumstances the submission of monthly returns of the accounts of the charities to the Mulbagal Taluk office was discontinued, has not been available either in the Records of the Secy or in the Distt and Taluk offices.

A report is due ^{in the matter} to the Member of Council for the quarter ending 31-12-18. and a reply is also due to the Member of the Legislative Council who interpellated

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

May File No. 192 of 1916

Serial
No.

in the matter

82. JG
21-1-19

20 Draft and Submit

JG
24/1/1921. Draft progress report sub?
separately on a routine note.82 JG
22-1-19 27/1/1922. Nothing can be done
in the matter. Areply has to be given to the interpellating
Members. Orders may be passed
on the report.

Levy.)

JG.
27/1/19.

23. No records throwing further
light upon the question have been
found. The interpellating member
says that the records are in the
Residence of Mr. Perhaps he is right.
and a reference to the same may
perhaps throw additional light. Ad-
mittedly the villages are situated
within the limits of the Mysore
State appears to have had only most-
superior right over the revenues of the
village. It is a matter of fact.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial
No.

whether any useful purpose will be served by pursuing the matter further

24. If wanting that the Zamindar was only a trustee, is it not a case for the beneficiaries viz the travelling public to litigate for the restoration of the charities. Has the Revenue State any legal standing to claim for the continuance of the charities & for the claim restoration of the same, one which it will not have political jurisdiction.

15 Instructions are solicited as to whether a further enquiry may be made of the Resident or whether the interpreting members may be informed of the information forthcoming and that no useful purpose will be served by pushing the matter. It would appear that the Pimpri Zamindari which is now wholly British territory is now in feudal subordination both to British and Maratha lords.

26

26

Muz File No. 192 of 1916

(13.)

24

Serial
No.

Let us get the records if any which are stated to be in the Residency.
Please draft a letter to the J. A. R. setting forth the information ^{which it is which} we have now available as to the extent of the right of the Durbar over the villages & their assignment for the benefit of the chattram, and add that the Durbar will feel gratified if they can be furnished with any record or other information which such for the coming in the Govt office.

125 11 11
25 11 11
7/11/19

As the communication was intended to ~~the~~ be made to the Pungamur Zamindar through the Residency, a draft letter is accordingly put up. If the letter should be addressed to the J. A. R. alone, necessary modifications may be made in the draft. subd for orders.

80-1-19 Revised draft addressed to the Residency is subd as directed in person.

80-1-19 Redraft 2nd sheet.

Serial
No.

32 Redraft & subd

87 - 84
5/2/19K.D.
5/2/19.Secy.) ~~has to be done off~~Draft Serial for
approvalL.R.
15.2.19356
1.2.19

182 (number)

Revised & passed.

L.R.
15.2.19Submitted for approval before
issue.

Dewan.)

K.D.
21/2/19.

The draft referred may

L.R.
15.2.19K.D.
21/2/1924. Pol. no. 8473/22-2.19 To F.A. Resident
in Mysore. requests certain

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial
No.

information in the matter

Ser 25

From the F. A. Roadt.,

Letter no. 1833 of 10/11/19-

States that no papers dealing with the subject under reference could be traced in the office.

Subd for orders as to whether further action may be taken in accordance with the Secretary's note in para 25 of the notes.

80 Jb
13.3.19 13/3/19

22
14/3/19

Leaf)

There does not seem to be anything further to be done. The papers may be lodged.

In another connection it was decided that it was unnecessary to communicate information gathered to interrogating members who were free to call for such information if they still desired it.

181 minutes

I agree

17.5.19

14.3.19

g mis 795-16.
(27)

DIARY No. 797

1916-1917.	
SE	12345
FILE	192-16
SERIAL No.	//

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dated Bangalore, 21st November 1916.

OFFICE MEMORANDUM.

Under Rule 4 of the Office Memorandum No. J. ⁴⁸⁴⁷⁻⁵⁸ 2806-16—Legis. ⁴⁷⁻¹⁵⁻² 43-13-1, dated ^{13th June 1916} the 30th March 1914, the accompanying extracts from questions received from Mr. ^{R.I.} C. Narasimhaiah non-official Member of the Mysore Legislative Council for being answered at the Meeting of the Council on the 3/12/1916, is forwarded to ^{R. VII} G. R. S. for immediate action under Rule 5 of the Office Memo.

Where no information is available in the Secretariat, the Head of the Department concerned may be communicated with and the information obtained.

Draft replies with connected files, if any, should be sent to this office before the 24th Nov 1916

By Order,

B. Narasimhaiah

For Secretary to Government.

[Signature]

9/11
21-11-16
Re...

Submitted for ~~order~~ perusal & orders.

am
21/11/16.

Wdy don't the branch head.
up a note.

S.O.
/

Subd in perusal

he
22/11/16

80
23/11/16

Put up list of letters recd in the
State enquiring as to
and also G.O. relating to Sivadas
resigns & chair all work
[Signature]

28

No.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

By Order,

5. Source: 12

Secretary,
Mysore Legislative Council



G.R.S. 26]

(29)
SUBJECT..... Call for information from D. C. Holur
on question no. 10 asked at the meeting of the
Legislative Council on 5.12.16 -

26/12
Diary No. of letter replied to mis 37

Section VII

File No. 1613

Serial No. 37

General and Revenue Secretariat

, the

191

No. 1627 En-1.

Date of issue 6.1.1917

To

The Deputy Commissioner,

Holur District.

Signed by M. G. Srinivasa Iyer

Sir,

In forwarding herewith
a copy of question No. 10 asked
and the reply given to it at
the meeting of the Mysore
Legislative Council on 5th Decr. 16,
I am directed to request that
information on points c & d
of the question may kindly
be furnished at an early date
as the question has to come up before the
next day meeting.

I have etc.

12.1.17

For Secretary
Genl. Dept.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GEN. & REV. SECRET
TYF | Sec.
45 JAN 1917

Interpellations

By Mr. C. Narasimhaiah, B.A., B.L.,

10.

(c) if so, what kind of supervision is exercised over Pasupathur and Veera-settiahalli inam villages endowed for the maintenance of a choultry in -- Sankararoyalpet or Gundungal in Punganur Zamindari ; and

(d) what the income from these villages is and how it is spent ?

Dyking Sec

Please type the question and answer in full as required in the draft

H. D.

3.1.17

GEN. & RES. SECRETARIAT.
VII
MUZRAI
20 JAN 1917
DIARY NO. 1176

13 161017
muZ
192-16
13

20 JAN 1917

From

1916-17

(31)

The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
Genl Dpt

Dated

14. 1. 17

Despatched

18. 1. 17

Bangalore

Received

General No. Dis 906.

No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that there are
No Inam villages of the
Name of Sampathur and
Veeratti Katti in the
District

32

Deputy Commissioner's office,

Kolar District,

Dated Camp Peresandra, the 14th January 17.

18:17

Dis 906

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire., J.P.,

Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District.

To

D.M. Narasinga Rao, Esq, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.1627/Muz.Mis.37 of 1916-17 dated 6th instant, requesting information relating to the supervision exercised over Pasupathur and Veerasettihalli inam villages endowed for the maintenance of a choultry in Sankararoyalpet or Gundangal in Punganur zamindari, I have the honor to state that there are no inam villages of the above name in this District.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

/

Deputy Commissioner

Kolar District.

REV. SECRETARY
G.R.S. 263
TYPING Sec.
14 FEB 1917

J mis 1150-16

(33)

SUBJECT *calls for necessary information from the*
Legislative Council Member re ^{the} question
asked ~~you~~ ^{me} in the meeting held on 5th Decr 1916.

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section *VII*
File No. *7-1150-16*
Serial No. *14*

General and Revenue Secretariat

(*Muz*)

, the

191 .

No. 2046.

Date of issue *13. 2. 1917*

To

to *L. Narasimhaiah Esq. B.A., B.L.,*
Advocate and Member of the
Legislative Council,
Mysore.

Signed by *M. Narayana Murthy*

Sir,

your
With reference to question
raised by you in the Legislative Council Meeting
held on 5.12.16
No. 10 (c) & (d) re Panepathur and

veerasettihalli inam villages

and

stated to have been endowed

for the maintenance of a
chowdtry in Santhararoyalpet
or Gundungal in Pungaver
Zamindari, I am directed to

Yours.

1/2

136
request you to kindly furnish
any available information as regards their
information as to the situation
of the main villages in question
so as to enable Govt. to make enquiries in the matter
in the questions put by you.

I have etc

le

8.2.17

am For Secretary
8/2/17 Genl. Deptt.

82
7.2.17

Copy to Sent to Legat. Sec.

19 2 16

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

No 2965
7-2-18

11/10
cc 1918
GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
9-FEB 1918

(35)
GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
VII
MYSORE DIARY No. 13-7-16
1917-18
1917-18
192-16
15

To

6489

SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
1918

The Secretary, to the Government of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 2046/ 37-16-2 dated 13-2-17,
calling for further information about the supervision exercised
over the Inam Villages of Pasupattur and Veerasettypalli, I have
the honour to state,

That for the convenience of the people going to and ~~and~~
returning from Tirupathi and for encouraging Sanscrit learning
a Chattram was built in Gundagal or Sankararayalet. In or
about the year 1795, Pasapathur and Veerasettypalli were given as
Inam Villages for the maintenance of the Chattrem ^{and 5} at Papasala.
These villages were being managed by the Mysore Government, till
the year 1867 or 1870, when, the Punganur Zamindar is said to
have asked the Mysore Government, to allow him to manage the
Chattram. The Government seems to have accordingly given the
management to him.

After the opening of the Railway to Tirupathi, people
began to travel by Train and the Chattram seems to have fallen
to disuse. The Zamindar suddenly stopped feeding in the
Chattram in the year 1897 and transferred the Pathasala to
This pathasala also seems to have been closed.
Punganur. This is clearly an unauthorised act. The Zamindar
X
does not seem to have consulted the Mysore Government in the
matter of stopping the feeding and transferring the Pathasala
to his Zamindari. The Mysore Government has complete control
over the villages given as Inam for charitable purposes. If
the Charity fails, the income from the ~~charity~~ villages will

(36)

will have to be used for other charitable purposes. The Zamindar has no right to transfer the Pathasala to his own place to the prejudice of the other people, ^{+ ultimately closed.} Hence the Inam villages should be resumed ~~on a small scale~~ ^{back by the Mysore} ~~by the Mysore Government~~ and managed by them and the income used for other charitable purposes. The Chattram building may be repaired and used as a resthouse.

The records connected with these villages are in the Residency office. The records may be kindly sent for and further action taken in the matter.

Mysore
7.2.18 }

B. Narasimhaiah
member Mysore
P. Council

For Interpellation.

(37)

I Will The Government be pleased to state the amount of land Revenue payable to the Government by each of the following committees.

- 1 Vokkaligars.
- 2 Lingayats.
- 3 Mahomedans.
- 4 Brahmins.
- 5 Vysyas.
- 6 Jains.
- 7 Christians.
- 8 And other communities.

II.

a Were not the Sessions Judges inspecting the Subdivision Magistrates Courts annually ?

b Why was this wholesome practice discontinued ?

c How many Subdivision Magistrates Courts have been inspected by the Deputy Commissioners during the year.?.

d Will the Government be pleased to place on the table the notes of such inspection.

III Has the attention of the Government been drawn to an article published in the New India Paper dated 17th January 1910, under the heading " The Mysore ~~REVENUE SECRETARIAT~~ General and Revenue Secretariat".

b Whether all or any of the allegations contained in the said article true.

c If so, will the Government be pleased to remedy the defects.

d If the allegations are not true what steps will the Government propose to take against the man who has contributed the article.

(38)

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT Calls for further information regarding the
inam villages of Panfathur and Veerasettipalli
endowed for the maintenance of the Chattram and
Patasala in Gundagal or Sankaragalur at the

Diary No. of letter replied to .. 1376 ..

Section *Minor*

File No. 192-16

Serial No. 16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

()
, the 191 .

D. G. No. 2161

Date of issue..... 13. 18 ..

To

Signed by *M. S. Sadasu*
R.O.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to acknowledge, with thanks, the receipt of your letter no. 2965 of 7-2-18 furnishing certain information regarding the inam villages of Panfathur and Veerasettipalli endowed for the maintenance of the Chattram and Patasala built in Gundagal or Sankaragalur, and to request ~~some~~ further you to kindly furnish (informa-
regards the exact location of
tion as to ~~where~~ the inam villages
over.

Filed
13/18

are located in question

I remain
Yours Sincerely

20/2/18

am Asst Secretary
20/2/18 Mizrai Dept

To

to Narasimhaya Esq. B. A. B. L.,
Advocate and Member of
the Legislative Council,
Mysore -

83
19-2-18

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

IATE.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Bangalore, Dated 7th June 1918
2087

17 18
192-16
17

OFFICE MEMORANDUM.

Under Rule 4 of the Office Memorandum No. J. 4847-58—Legis. 47-15-2, dated the 13th June 1916, the accompanying extracts from questions (No. III) received from Mr. C. Narasimhaiah B.A., B.L., non-official Member of the Mysore Legislative Council for being answered at the Meeting of the Council on the 28th June 1918, is forwarded to Br. VII for immediate action under Rule 5 of the Office Memo.

2. Where no information is available in the Secretariat, the Head of the Department concerned may be communicated with and the information obtained.

3. Draft replies with connected files, if any, should be sent to this office before the 17th June 1918

By Order,

S. Sundararaj

Chief
For Secretary to the Government,
General Department.

I. That was a ...

Government ...

my Incorporation No. 20, in the
Legislative Council Meeting Held on
5th December 1916 and the subsequent
information given by me to the --
Government ?

(b) - That kind of ... Government
must have been ... on ...
to ... the ...
...
for the ...
in ...
...

(c) ...
...

III. What action has been taken by the Government with reference my interpellation No.10., in the -- Legislative Council Meeting held on 5th December 1916 and the subsequent information given by me to the -- Government ?

(1) What kind of supervision, Government has been exercising or propose to exercise over Pasupathiah and -- Veerasetty ^{hally} Inam villages endowed for the maintainance of a choultry in Shankararayaalpēt or Gurndangal in Punganur Zamindari.?

(2) What is the income of these -- villages and how is it spent ?.

9 June 1918

(43)

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY, MYSORE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL,
Dated Bangalore, 10th July 1918.

No.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Kind attention of the Secretary to Government
Muzrai Dept
is invited to question No. 13 asked and the reply given at the
meeting of the Mysore Legislative Council on the 28th June 1918
and he is requested to be so good as to take further action on the subject as in-
dicated in the Government reply and intimate the result to this office. The connected
file is herewith

By Order,

Es univas

Secretary,
Mysore Legislative Council.

ok

See the members' order. Keep the matter in mind and keep on with enquiry. A reply to the Dist. for connected needs should go
13.7.18
15/7/18
80
17.7.18
Draft into

WD 2972-605-300-9-6-16

66

SUBJECT Calls for a further report in the matter of
the inam villages of Pasupathahalli & veerasettihalli
in the Pungamur Zamindari —

Diary No. of letter replied to....1176
216

Section Muz

File No. 192-16

Serial No. 18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
(Muz)
, the 191 .

No. 287

Date of issue...19...7...1918...

To

The Deputy-Comr., Kolar Distt.

Signed by Mr. Mr. Sadasubrah Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no. D. 81906 of 18-1-17 stating that the inam villages of Pasupathur and veerasettihalli endowed for the maintenance of a choultry in Sankararajapet or Epundangal in Pungamur Zamindari, are not situated in your District, I am directed to state that it is understood that the inam villages

in question were given ~~over~~ to the State

~~Mysore Govt~~ by the Punganur Zamindar
in ^{for money} ~~lieu of certain debt due by it~~

^{Mysore} ~~to the Govt~~ and ~~the Govt~~ ^{were subsequently in 1810}
~~sum-endowed~~ ^{by the Darbar} ~~for the up-keep~~
^{above} of the chattram built at ^{their} ~~its~~ instance

~~and it also appears to have been arranged~~
It was

that the management should rest

with the Zamindar ~~subject to its~~
~~furnishing~~ ^{monthly} accounts ^{being furnished} to the ~~Darbar~~
~~officer at~~ ^{Aquidar of} ~~Mulbagal~~ ^{Daluk} Saluk.

2. As it is necessary to know fully

~~the exact circumstances under~~

which the main villages were

transferred to the Mysore Govt

~~by the Zamindar and why and when~~

~~it ceased to furnish accounts to~~ ^{the Subjission of the} ^{referred to above}
~~the Daluk officer, I am directed to~~

request that a thorough investi-

gation may be made into the

matter by a reference to the records

if any, on the subject both in the

District and Daluk offices and

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

(41)
a report submitted to Govt
as early as possible, with any
other information that may
be available on the subject,
~~as a reply has to be given~~
~~by Govt to an interpellation~~
~~on the subject.~~

I have etc

MD.
For Jt Secretary.
10/7/18.
Muz: Deptt

BL

17/7/18

80
17.7.18



DIARY 1222

18-19
mur
192-16
19

WD 0468--GPB--3,00,000--21-7-17-B

191

47

From
The Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To
The Joint Secretary,
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Dated 17th January 1919.

Despatched

Received

General No. 875 881 11 174
No. 783 3335.

Enclosure No. -

Stating that no references are found either in the District Office Records or in the Mulbagal Taluk office Records to furnish with the information called for, in connection with the Inam Village, Pusupathoor & Venasetthahalli

W. J.
K.
11. 20
8/11
28

2/61
20/1/19

1187

Deputy Commissioner's Office

No.

Kolar District,

Dated Kolar, the 17th January 1919

From

B.Venkoba Rao, Esq., B.A.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Kolar District.

To

G.Aravamudu Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 287 M.F. 192-16-18 dated 29th July 1918, calling for certain particulars regarding the inam village of Pasapathur and Veerasettihalli, I have the honour to state that no references were found either in the records of this office or in those of the Mulbagal Taluk office to show that the said villages were endowed for the maintenance of the Mysore Government choultry in Punganur Zamindari. Nor were any accounts regarding the income etc derived from those villages being furnished by the zamindari to the Mulbagal Taluk.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

DC Subbarayaappa

M.K.

Treasury Assistant Commissioner
for Offg. Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.
(Absent from station).

(49)

MEMORANDUM.

---000---

S u b j e c t:- Interpellations

Flay J & K (No. 10 of 5th December 1916 and No. 13 of 28th June 1918) in the Legislative Council in the matter of the supervision exercised over -- Pasupathur and Veerasettihalli inam villages endowed for the maintenance of a choultry in Sankararoyalpet or Gundangal in Punganur Zamindari.

Flay R
Reference:- Orders of the First Member of Council on 14th June 1918.

"X X X X"

"Let me get quarterly reports of progress made".

Flay R
1. From an exhaustive search in the Secretariat Records it is found that these villages of Punganur which were left in the charge of Mysore Government for money due -- the Punganur Zamindari was paying two-thirds peshkush to Mysore from 1799 to 1801 -- were restored in 1810 to Punganur on condition of the annual income being utilised for an

Annachattaram

50

Annachattram at Gundāgal. It was intended to find out how the villages came to the possession of Mysore and ^{when and} under what circumstances the submission of monthly returns of the - - accounts of the charities to the Mulbagal Amildar, as arranged in 1810, was discontinued, before any action could be taken.

For V. / 2. (a) The vernacular records of the office has put up a note after a further -- search that the required information is not available.

For V. (b) It is learnt from the Mulbagal Taluk Office which was requested to furnish the available information as to the submission of the accounts, that no such accounts have been found.

For X (c) Information called for from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District, on the subject in this office letter No. 287/192-16-18 dated 19th July 1918, has not yet been furnished. Reminders are also being sent.

3. In the absence of further references to show that control was being exercised over the charities, it seems to be inexpedient to request the Punganur Zamindar -- either directly or through the Residency -- as to the state of the Charities at present or as to why accounts are not being submitted. After the reply from the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar, is received, needful action will be taken to inform in the usual manner the Member of the Legislative Council, who interpellated in the matter.

SSM

Recd
20 9 18

20/11/18

(51)

SUBJECT... *Respects certain information in the matter of
the supervision exercised by the Durbar over the corner
villages of Pasupathur and Veral Sethalli and also for
the maintenance of a chattram within Pampur
limits.*

Diary No. of letter replied to .. 1222

Section *Mag*
File No. 192-16.
Serial No. 24.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

()
the 191

No. *Pol. 847*
Sec. an

Date of issue *22-2-1915*

To

Signed by *M. Mahabadi*

*The First Assistant to
The Hon'ble The Resident
in Mysore,*

Sir,

I am directed to state
that, ^{as the result of interpellations} ~~as questions were asked~~
in the ^{my own} State Legislative
Council, ~~relating to the~~ ^{report}
control ^{to be} exercised by ~~the Durbar~~ ^{them}
over Pasupathur and Veral
Sethalli Inn village
within the limits of ^{the} ~~the~~
over.

*It is the Durbar have
has to consider the salary of the*

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

expenditure being fixed,
by the Durbar, ~~at the situation~~
and the management handed
over to the Zemindar's
Pradhan who was to send
monthly accounts to the
Amildar of Mulbagal.

Please See ~~copy of~~ Kanada
Translation ^{and see} of a maharatti
Paravana of 25th April 1810.

3. I am to state that
no other ^{reference} papers ~~there~~
connected with the ~~case~~
further light upon the
question have been ~~so far~~
traced ~~on the~~ ^{in the} ~~records~~
~~of the~~ ^{in the} ~~records~~
and
in that case
to add that the ~~General~~
I am to say,
will feel grateful if they
can be ~~presented~~ with
any records or other infor-
mation which may be of in-
terest in the ~~Residency~~
Office (and) ~~which~~

But it is just possible
that there may be papers
in the records of the Residency
Office.

150

the extent of the right of
the the number since the villages
of you him me there it represented
for the benefit of the children
to

fine that has with
them a statement of
the in fact
the in fact
the in fact
the in fact
the in fact
the in fact
the in fact
the in fact

150

901 1182
12-3-19

No. 1833

R O 2 -R P. B -1919 -3,000.

(55)
15-74

FROM

GEN. & RES. DEPT.
12 MAR 1919

MAJOR E. H. S. JAMES,

FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

18-9
mur
196-16
25.

To

GEN. & RES. DEPT.
MUZRAI
12 MAR 1919

The Joint Secretary to the Government

of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

Dated Bangalore, 18th March 1919
11

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 847-Pol, dated the 22nd February 1919, regarding papers connected with the Endowment of the Chatram at Gundangal, I am directed to state that no papers dealing with the subject under reference can be traced in this office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.,

Suba.

12

I.S.

17/3/19

17/3/19

17/3/19

17/3/19

17/3/19

17/3/19

17/3/19

17/3/19

Ch. Hunter
10-3-19

For First Assistant Resident.

mur

Immediate

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Extract of Mysrai Secretary's
order re question No 13 and the
reply given at the meeting of
the Mysore Legislative Council
on the 28th June 1918.

"Keep the matter in mind
and push on with enquiry"

Forwarded to the ver: records m.
for needful further action.

in basing the information

7-18 July
(i) As to how the villages came to the ~~possession~~ possession of Mysore and
(ii) as to when and how the submission of monthly returns of the acts of the Charities inaugurated in 1810 to the Amildar Mulbagat, was discontinued.

The matter may kindly
be considered urgent.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

Notes must be copied on separate sheets.

Ver Reg: 12-100
12-7-91
GRSM.592
100-100000

18/7/18

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

From the Mysore Treaty of 22nd June 1799, Pungamur with an estimated Bering of 15,000 C. p. , seems to have been ceded to the share of the East India Company.

(2) Referred to the original notification in Maharatta of the 14th November 1799 signed by James George Graham Esq Collector of Bara Mahal, it is that Pungamur was divided into three divisions, two of which were given to the Mysore State and one was retained by the East India Company and the villages of Pashupathuram, Verasettehalli, are not included in the portion ceded to the Mysore State.

(3) The Maharatti account of the year 1799, showing the Bering or the estimated income and the Sagawali or the actual field of the villages of Pungamur, in

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

in the possession of the East India Company, do contain the village of Pashupathur with a Berig of 180 varahas and a Kagnwadi of 50 Varahas + 2 Janams and that of Venasattikalai with a Berig of 40 Varahas and a of 20 Varahas and one Janam.

(4) From the Supplementary Treaties of Mysore of 6th April 1801 and 29th December 1803, the 2/3rd portion of Pungamur, with a Berig of 10,000 C. pagodas, which was in the possession of the Mysore State, was ceded to the East India Company ~~and~~ along with other territories with a total Berig of C. P. 34,447-13-12 and in exchange the Mysore State got Kolabere, Majikoidu and Harihar of an equivalent Berig of C. P.

34,447-13-12.

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, on slips pasted on this form.

W D 81—GPB—60

(5) The North Arcot District Manual of 1895, contains the following

59
information about Pungamr :-

During the last Mysore War, the tribute of the Pungamr Poligar, was fixed at 20,000 C. pagodas or nearly Rs 60,000 of which two-thirds was payable to Mysore and one third to the Company and that Colonel Munro soon drew attention to the inconvenience of this arrangement and the whole of the estate was transferred to the Company, an equivalent in land of the two-thirds being given to Mysore in exchange. (As per para 4 above)

The Poligar collected the revenue of his estate until 1808 when the three years lease began. * * *

From 1815 to 1824, the estate was being managed by the Collector on the ryotwari system and that in 1825, the Poligar was permitted to manage the estate, he being allowed one-fifth of the survey assessment, any loss by reduction of rents, falling upon Government.

In 1835 the Court of Directors again declined to issue a permanent Samad though they consented to a Cowle for 20 years on the terms proposed by the Board.

When the lease terminated in 1854, annual engagements were entered into with the Poligar for a payment of Rs 63, until a permanent Samad was at last in 1861 granted on the same terms with the title of Zamindar.

The amount was subsequently raised to Rs 66,987-5-8 on

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

of quit-rent charged by the Mann
Commissioner on lands within the
Zemindari. The present peshkash
is Rs 66,797.

(6) The copy of the letter from the late
Deewan Mr. Purniah dated the 25th
April 1810 and the Janna Vasul
Barki account of 1809-10,
particulars of the Mysore chowdhy,
in question, near Gundagal of
the Pengamur Zemindari, have
already been put up.

(7) Accounts of the Mullagal
subsequent to 1809-10 up to the year
1862-63, were carefully looked
into and no further information
is available in the Ver. Records, except
that an expenditure of 1 Varaha and
9 1/2 fanams, was incurred in the
year 1814-15, for the repair of the
vessels belonging to the Chattram at
Gundagal.

on small slips
on slips pasted on

W.D. 51-GPB-60,000 277 16

17th August 1918. C. Ramachandrase-
Ver. Records.

Sub to art. Sub of final order
B.C. 19.8.18 Wait in form a form
from the Dy. Secy. to the Govt.

To. Porandh VII